



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/671,120		09/28/2000	Eiichi Takahashi	21.1980/CJG	8624	
21171	7590	03/22/2005	•	EXAM	EXAMINER	
STAAS &	HALSEY	LLP	SHARON	SHARON, AYAL I		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW	YORK AV	VENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2123		
				DATE MAILED: 03/22/200	DATE MAILED: 03/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/671,120	TAKAHASHI ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Ayal I Sharon	2123		

			1						
	Ayal I Sharon	2123							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 28 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.									
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The state of the condition of the cond	ment, affidavit, or other evidence, wal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR ereply must be filed within one of t	which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	ication in st for Continued						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date									
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).	1							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Apperwas filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 4 Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 Chas been filed, any reply must be filed within the time per AMENDMENTS	1.37 must be filed within two month CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	s of the date of filing	the Notice of						

 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co 			ecause						
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		i E below);							
	 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re	ducing or simplifying	ule issues ioi						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	ected claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
1. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)			(
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) <u>8-11</u> would be allo non-allowable claim(s). 		mely filed amendmen	t canceling the						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro-	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will w	ll be entered and an e	explanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to: 8-11.									
Claim(s) rejected: <u>12-15</u> .									
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ls to provide a 1).						
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. ☒ Other: See attached comments. 	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)							

Application/Control Number: 09/671,120 Page 2

Art Unit: 2123

ADVISORY ACTION COMMENTS

- 1. In the remarks section of the After-Final Amendment filed on 2/28/2005 (see p.9, item "a"), Applicants argue that "it is believed that the amendment of claims 8-11 puts those claims into allowable form as suggested by the Examiner." Examiner has marked box #6 of the attached Advisory Action Form PTOL-303, which indicates that the newly amended claims 8-11 would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claims.
- 2. In the same remarks section (see p.9, item "b"), Applicants argue that "the amendment of claim 1, and 12-15 should not entail any further search by the Examiner since no new features are being added or no new issues are being raised." Examiner respectfully disagrees with this argument. New limitations have been added to these claims. Prosecution is closed. These new limitations will require further consideration and search.
- 3. The Applicants also argue (see p.9, item "c") that "the amendments do not significantly alter the scope of the claims at least into a better form for appeal. No new features or new issues are being raised." Again, Examiner respectfully disagrees. The addition of new limitations into the claims constitutes altering the scope of the claims. Prosecution is closed. These new limitations will require further consideration and search. Moreover, the new amendments do not reduce or simplify the issues for appeal they add new issues related to the proposed claim amendments which the Examiner has not had an opportunity to address.

Art Unit: 2123

4. The Applicants also argue (see p.9, item "d") that "the references applied to the claims are newly cited in the final Office Action, and Applicants should be provided the opportunity to present patentability arguments and amendments in view thereof." As stated in the previous Office Action, Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in the Final Office action. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

A STANTING TO STAN